









The Rio Grande Consortium for Advanced Research on Exascale Simulation (Grande CARES)

Dr. Vinod Kumar, Professor and Department Chair Mechanical and Industrial Engineering Texas A&M University, Kingsville, TX

Aug. 22, 2024



Annual Symposium: AI Accelerated Physics Based Modelling and its Role in Energy Industry Hosted by: Computational Fluid Dynamics SLB Q-Auditorium, 10001 Richmond Ave, Houston, TX



Outline

- Background
- Methodology and Technical Discussion
- Crosscutting themes
 - HPC & Exascale computing Sandia National Labs & our software development activities
 - Machine Learning, AI, and UQ
- Selected Problems
- Concluding remarks



Selected Projects



Compressible Flows in Engineer's Language (Math)

PDEs, ODEs are obsolete! Easier than learning Spanish!



Mesh and Membrane

Mesh Motion
$$(\mathbf{\sigma}^{\mathrm{m}} + \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{m}} = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \Omega^{\mathrm{m}}$$
Equations of motions: Pseudo-solid $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{m}} = \lambda^{\mathrm{m}} tr(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{m}})\mathbf{I} + 2\mu^{\mathrm{m}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{m}},$ Stress-strain relationship: $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{m}} = \frac{1}{2} \left((\nabla \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{m}}) + (\nabla \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{m}})^{T} \right)$ Linear elastic solid $\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{m}} = \mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{m}}$ on $(\Gamma_{t}^{\mathrm{m}})_{g},$ Boundary conditions $\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{m}} = \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{m}}$ on $(\Gamma_{t}^{\mathrm{m}})_{h}$ Force balanceStructural Dynamics $\rho^{\mathrm{s}} \left(\frac{d^{2}\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{s}}}{d^{2}t} + \eta \frac{d\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{s}}}{dt} - \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{s}}\right) - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{s}} = \mathbf{0}$ on $\Omega_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ Force balance $\sigma^{\mathrm{creationship:}} \left(\frac{2\lambda^{\mathrm{s}}\mu^{\mathrm{s}}}{\lambda^{\mathrm{s}} + 2\mu^{\mathrm{s}}} G^{\mathrm{s}} G^{\mathrm{s}} + \mu^{\mathrm{s}} [G^{\mathrm{s}} G^{\mathrm{s}} + G^{\mathrm{s}} G^{\mathrm{s}}] \right) \varepsilon_{dl}$ $\sigma^{\mathrm{creationship:}} G_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{s}} = \mathbf{0} = \frac{dy^{\mathrm{s}}}{dt}$ on $(\Omega_{0}^{\mathrm{s}})_{g}$ Initial Conditionas: $\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{s}} = \mathbf{0} = \frac{dy^{\mathrm{s}}}{dt}$ on $(\Omega_{0}^{\mathrm{s}})_{g}$ Linear elastic solid

SST/DSD Formulations

$$\begin{split} &\int_{Q_n} \mathbf{W}^h \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}^h}{\partial t} + \mathbf{A}_i^h \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}^h}{\partial x_i} \right) dQ + \int_{Q_n} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}^h}{\partial x_i} \right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{K}_{ij}^h \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}^h}{\partial x_j} \right) dQ \\ &+ \int_{\Omega_n} (\mathbf{W}^h)_n^+ \cdot \rho((\mathbf{U}^h)_n^+ - (\mathbf{U}^h)_n^-) dQ \\ &+ \sum_{e=1}^{(n_{el})_n} \int_{Q_n^e} \tau_{\text{SUPG}} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}^h}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{A}_k^h)^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}^h}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\mathbf{K}_{kl}^h \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}^h}{\partial x_l} \right) \right] \cdot \\ &\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}^h}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{A}_i^h) \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}^h}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\mathbf{K}_{ij}^h \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}^h}{\partial x_j} \right) \right] dQ \\ &+ \sum_{e=1}^{(n_{el})_n} \int_{Q_n^e} \tau_{\text{SHOC}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}^h}{\partial x_i} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}^h}{\partial x_i} \right) dQ = \int_{(P_n)_h} \mathbf{W}^h \cdot \mathbf{h}^h dP. \end{split}$$

Multiphysics Framework – Strong coupling

Couple fluid dynamics with physics from various disciplines for realism, e.g., Fluid Dynamics, Structural Dynamics, Rain/Particle Dynamics, Solid Mechanics, Meteorology, Oceanography

		Linearization of nonlinear equations – Newton Raphson
$\left[\rho^{s}\left(\frac{d^{2}\mathbf{y}^{s}}{d^{2}t}+\eta \frac{d\mathbf{y}^{s}}{dt}-\mathbf{f}^{s}\right)-\nabla_{s}\sigma^{s}=0\right]_{Physics 1}\left[\mathbf{P}_{1}\right]$	After discretizing using your favorite method (EVM / EEM)	$\left[\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}_1}{\partial \mathbf{d}_1} \right]^i \Delta \mathbf{d}_1^{i+1} + \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}_1}{\partial \mathbf{d}_2} \right]^i \Delta \mathbf{d}_2^{i+1} + \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}_1}{\partial \mathbf{d}_3} \right]^i \Delta \mathbf{d}_3^{i+1} = -\left[\mathbf{N}_1(\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{d}_3) \right]^i \right]^i$
$\nabla . \sigma^{m} + f^{m} = 0$ Physics 2 (P ₂)	$\mathbf{N}_1(\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{d}_3) = 0$	$\left[\left[\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial \mathbf{d}_1} \right]^i \Delta \mathbf{d}_1^{i+1} + \left[\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial \mathbf{d}_2} \right]^i \Delta \mathbf{d}_2^{i+1} + \left[\frac{\partial N_2}{\partial \mathbf{d}_3} \right]^i \Delta \mathbf{d}_3^{i+1} = -[N_2(\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{d}_3)]^i \right]$
$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla .(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \qquad \text{Physics 3 (P_3)}$	$N_2(\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{d}_3) = 0 \longrightarrow$ $N_3(\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{d}_3) = 0$	$\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}_3}{\partial \mathbf{d}_1}\right]^i \Delta \mathbf{d}_1^{i+1} + \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}_3}{\partial \mathbf{d}_2}\right]^i \Delta \mathbf{d}_2^{i+1} + \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}_3}{\partial \mathbf{d}_3}\right]^i \Delta \mathbf{d}_3^{i+1} = -[\mathbf{N}_3(\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{d}_3)]^i$
$\left \frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{u})}{\partial t} + \nabla .(\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}) - \nabla p - \nabla .\mathbf{T} = 0 \right $		After linearization (two way coupling)
<u>Generalization</u> : Let Physics1, Physics2, Physics3, . represented by P ₁ , P ₂ , P ₃ ,, P _n respectively.	, Physics-n be	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} & \mathbf{A}_{13} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} & \mathbf{A}_{23} \\ \mathbf{A}_{31} & \mathbf{A}_{32} & \mathbf{A}_{33} \end{bmatrix}^{i} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{d}_{1} \\ \Delta \mathbf{d}_{2} \\ \Delta \mathbf{d}_{3} \end{bmatrix}^{i+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{2} \\ \mathbf{b}_{3} \end{bmatrix}^{i}$
		One way coupling – used in my FSI & most

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}_{1}\mathbf{P}_{1}} & & & \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}_{2}\mathbf{P}_{1}} & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}_{2}\mathbf{P}_{2}} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}_{n}\mathbf{P}_{1}} & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}_{n}\mathbf{P}_{2}} & \ddots & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{P}_{n}\mathbf{P}_{n}} \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{P}_{1}} \\ \Delta \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{P}_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \ddots \\ \Delta \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{P}_{n}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{P}_{1}} \\ \Delta \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{P}_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \ddots \\ \Delta \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{P}_{n}} \end{bmatrix}$$

multiphysics framework (e.g., ANSYS, COMSOL) Not good for tight coupling cases $\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}^{i} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{d}_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{i+1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{i}$

$A_{21} A_{31}$	A ₂₂ A ₃₂	0 A ₃₃	$\Delta \mathbf{d}_2$ $\Delta \mathbf{d}_3$	=	\mathbf{b}_{2} \mathbf{b}_{3}	
L -	-					

DOE/NNSA Exascale Project

https://sites.google.com/view/grande-cares/home

The Rio Grande Consortium for Advanced Research on Exascale Simulation (Grande CARES)





Vorobieff (PI) Poroseva MNN



Understanding complex physics and physical phenomena of intricate engineering systems is critical for designing and maintaining reliable, efficient, and economic systems that can be safely operated. System-level engineering challenges are often complex and involve multi-physics coupling of multiple scales in several orders of magnitude. The latest advancement in computational and data-driven technologies with supercomputing interfaces/infrastructures promises novel ways to address intricate engineering challenges. In addition, the advanced modeling & simulation (M&S) capabilities allow in-depth investigations and can significantly reduce operational costs. However, effective implementation of these technologies requires a workforce educated with an in-depth understanding of multi-physics concepts from multiple disciplines & cross-cutting technologies. The CARES team aims to address this gap through an innovative multi-physics integrator comprising five core research thrusts and an

innovative curriculum. The educational goal is to cultivate scientists and engineers from underrepresented groups, educated in integrative skills needed for the advancement of M&S. The research will focus on developing and integrating cutting-edge computational algorithms using HPC, ML, data analytics, UQ, and other novel computational capabilities. The consortium brings together researchers from 5 departments (Mechanical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Computer Science, Computational Science, & Mathematical Science). It is comprised of faculty and staff from 4 prominent HSI (UTEP, UNM, NMSU, and NMT), one HBCU (PVAMU), and Sandia (a prominent DOE NNSA lab with leading-edge advanced M&S capabilities).

Tosh





CARES: Education

• Interdisciplinary Education / Curriculum *Courses*: Computational Methods for Multiphysics Problems, Advanced Computing, Data-driven analytics and engineering

Internship experience: Interdisciplinary project

• Interdisciplinary Student Research Research leadership, Written communication and accountability, Oral communication, Advanced computing workshops, Seminar

• Mentoring



CARES: Research

- Formulate, validate and implement advanced computational tools operating efficiently with extremely large databases for system level predictions.
- Develop high-fidelity, multiphysics computational approaches for coupled thermalfluid-structural systems incorporating computational fluid & structural mechanics and high temperature reactivity.
- Deploy leading-edge computational tools from SNL through integrative curriculum changes to develop a sustainable approach to workforce needs trained in advanced M&S.



Figure 1. Rio Grande CARES research focus and thrusts.

Today's HPC infrastructure are a lot more complex but very affordable – GPU, Threading, MPI-X Thanks to thriving video gaming industries!





Sandia's Trilinos Framewok

•One of the main challenges for any software development is keeping the computer code up-to-date with the advancement in applied mathematics, software and hardware development in computational science and engineering. Realizing the challenge, the Computer Science Research Institute (CSRI) group at Sandia National Laboratories (Sandia) has developed and continues to develop scalable solver algorithms and software through next-gen (exa-scale, peta-scale, exteme-scale, etc.) computing investment. The project is called Trilinos project.





Our Exascale Computing Efforts with Trilinos (Sandia)

- Object-oriented software framework for...
- Solving big complex science & engineering problems
- More like LEGO[™] bricks than Matlab[™]

Provides the state-of-the-art preconditions and linear solver libraries

- demonstrate scalability on current HPC systems
- illustrate plans for continued maintenance
- include support for new hardware technologies





Target Platforms

- Desktop: Development and more...
- Capability machines:
 - Redstorm (XT3), Clusters
 - Roadrunner (Cell-based).
 - Multicore nodes.
- Parallel software environments:
 - MPI
 - UPC, CAF, threads, vectors,...
 - Combinations of the above.
- User "skins":
 - C++/C, Python
 - Fortran.
 - Web, CCA.





CRAY

Trilinos Package Advancement

Category	1 st Generation	2 nd Generation	3 rd Gen
Linear Algebra Services	Epetra , EpetraExt, Komplex	Tpetra , Xpetra, Domi, RTOp, Thyra	Tpetra , Xpetra
Tools	Teuchos, Triutils, Galeri, Optika, Trios	Teuchos, Sacado, Trios	
Direct Linear Solvers	Amesos, Pliris	Amesos2	
Iterative Linear Solvers	AztecOO	Belos, Stratimikos	Belos
Preconditioners	IFPACK, ML	IFPACK2, MueLu, ShyLU	IFPACK2, MueLu
Nonlinear Solvers	NOX, LOCA	NOX, LOCA	
Eigensolvers	Anasazi	Anasazi	
Optimization & Analysis	МООСНО	MOOCHO, OptiPack, Phalanx, Piro, ROL	
Meshing & Load Balance	STK, Zoltan, Isorropia, Mesquite, Moertel	STK, Zoltan2, Pamgen	
Discretizations	Intrepid, Shards, Rythmos	Intrepid, Shards, Tempus	
Performance Portability			Kokkos

MFiX-Trilinos: Advanced Linear Solver

MFiX (developed by NETL)

- Model multiphase physics
- Widely used by the fossil fuel reactor communities and beyond
- can significantly reduce time & cost to design a reactor

$$\varepsilon_{g} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \varepsilon_{sm} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varepsilon_{g} \rho_{g} \vec{U}_{g}) + \nabla . (\varepsilon_{g} \rho_{g} \vec{U}_{g}) = R_{g}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varepsilon_{g} \rho_{g} \vec{U}_{g}) + \nabla . (\varepsilon_{g} \rho_{g} \vec{U}_{g} \vec{U}_{g}) = -\varepsilon_{g} \nabla P_{g} + \nabla . \tau_{g} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} I_{gs_{m}} + \varepsilon_{g} \rho_{g} \vec{g}$$

$$\varepsilon_{g} \rho_{g} C_{pg} \left(\frac{\partial T_{g}}{\partial t} + \vec{U}_{g} . \nabla T_{g} \right) = \nabla \vec{q}_{g} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} H_{gs_{m}} - \Delta H_{rg} + H_{wall} (T_{wall} - T_{g})$$

However

- Computational expense for most practical applications can make it impractical
- Limited software capabilities
 - Linear solver, MPI-X, UQ, etc.
- Can result in poor convergence especially in complex non-linear problems

But, could be made more practical if we could significantly reduce time-to-solution by

- Effectively exploiting HPC systems (massively parallel computers, GPUs, multithreading..)
- Leveraging state-of-the-art preconditions and linear solver libraries
- Providing a long-term portable and scalable software development framework





Goal and Objectives

The technical goal of this project is to develop, validate and implement **advanced linear solvers** to replace **MFiX's existing linear solvers**. This goal will be achieved by integrating **Trilinos**. The project will **demonstrate scalability** of the Trilinos- MFIX interface on various high-performance computing (HPC) facilities including the ones funded by the Department of Energy (DOE).

The expected results of the project will be **reduction of computational time** when solving complex gas-solid flow and reaction problems in MFIX, and reduction in time and cost of adding new algorithms and physics based models into MFIX

- **Objectives** Create a framework to integrate MFiX with Trilinos linear solver packages
 - Validate MFiX suites of problems on HPC systems with and without GPU acceleration
 - Evaluate the performance









C++ Wrapper

```
Tpetra Map map(numGlobalElements, numMyElements, indexBase, comm)
Tpetra crsMatrix A(map,7);
Tpetra multivector x (map, 1);
Tpetra multivector b (map, 1);
for (LO i = 0;i < static cast<LO> (numMyElements); ++i) {
   Values = Anew[][];
    Indices = pos[][];
    A->insertGlobalValues (gblRow, NumEntries, Values, Indices);
}
A->fillComplete (map, map);
for (LO i= 0; i < static cast<LO> (numMyElements); ++i) {
  const GO gblRow = map->getGlobalElement (i);
  b->sumIntoGlobalValue(gblRow, 0, Bn[i]);
}
Tpetra LinearProblem problem(&A, &x, &b);
Problem->setRightPrec (plistp);
belos bicgstab manager type solver(Problem, plists));
```

```
solver->solve();
```

Flow in a fluidized bed





DEM



Performance - MFiX-Trilinos vs MFiX

3D Bubbling flow Problem Case 1: Mesh Size = 10M Case 2: Mesh size = 200M

Computers:

Stampede: Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC)Bridges:Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center (PSC)Comet:San Diego Supercomputer Center (SDSC)

Various computer architectures used for the performance analysis study

	Stampede (AS)	Comet (AC)	Bridges (AB)
Model (Intel Xeon)	E5-2680 2.7GHz	E5-2695 2.5GHz	E5-2695 2.30 GHz
Cores per socket	8	12	14
Sockets	2	2	2
L1 cache (KB)	32	32	32
L2 cache (KB)	256	256	256
L3 cache (KB)	20480	30720	35840
RAM (GB)	32	128	130





Various supercomputers



PC: Jacobi





First and second generation solver stacks

Second generation

Iterative Solvers: BiCGStab2, GMRES2 Packages: Tpetra (obj), Belos (solver), MueLu PC: Smoothed Aggregation

First Generation

Iterative Solvers: BiCGStab1, GMRES1 Packages: Epetra (obj), Aztec(solver), ML PC: Smoothed Aggregation







Research Focus (with George Karniadakis, Brown)

Physics (PDEs) & data-driven (AI/ML) Analysis for Complex Systems



High Performance Computing (HPC) Advanced Modeling & Simulations, Exascale Computing

- Essential for a thriving, innovation-based economy
 - Huge opportunities at affordable price
 - Expand our innovation pipeline
 - Companies like Google, Amazon, Facebook, GE has be mostly reliant on imported talent for such needs
- Sustained government investment required for advancing U.S. leadership
 - Scaling back => putting economic power, ability to innovate & national security at risk
 - Failing to adapt disruptive innovation => leadership can slip away
- A force multiplier for innovation and efficiency
 - Good return on the investment (\$1 on HPC => \$515 overall or \$1,205 for Govt)
 - A lot of profit/cost savings (\$1 on HPC => \$52 overall or \$141 for Govt)
 - Free to us as DoD HPC users (Seed account: 250,000hrs x \$0.12/core-hr[#] =\$30,000 +software cost)
- Are we ready? NOT Really!
 - Education & research resources
 - Scarce both internally at the University & externally (e.g. very difficult to research grants from funding agencies)
 - Inherently interdisciplinary but historically organization structures are designed to discourage such researchers
 - Trained human resources
 - engineering students get scared of PDEs, Numerical Methods, Programming (even at scripting level -- forget about HPC!), ...
 - Insufficient number of courses and organized education materials (such 3 credit hour courses)
 - Need for a lot advocacy and perhaps seedling support at university if we're serious
 - Decision makers (internal deans, VPs, etc /external PM/PD, Lab admins, etc) --- China says "...will demonstrate the world's first exascale supercomputer

References[:]

- * https://science.energy.gov/~/media/ascr (IDC report)
- # https://fcw.com/articles/2017/05/03/comment-hpc.aspx
- # https://blog.rescale.com/the-real-cost-of-high-performance-computing/ (Equipment + Electricity + Labor + Facilities cost)

Acquisition of an Advanced Computing Testbed for HPC, AI/ML, M&S & Digital Twin Research and Education

Amount: ~\$1M, 2024-25 (PI: Kumar, Co-PI: Yang) Funding Agency: DOD Award # W911NF2410267

Infrastructure

- HPC AI/ML Testbed
- High end visualization
- Digital twin equipment

Curricular:

- Multiphysics Computing
- Scalable & Portable Computing
- AI & Data-driven engineering

Research:

- Digital-twin
- Modeling and Simulations
- Advanced Computing





Concluding Remarks

•HPC Impact: Advanced linear solvers in Trilinos significantly enhance computational capabilities in scientific research.

•Improved Performance: Integration with MFiX reduces computational time and costs, making simulations more feasible.

•Future-Proofing: Trilinos adapts to emerging technologies like GPUs, ensuring long-term relevance.

•Collaborative Success: Interdisciplinary teamwork is crucial for developing scalable, efficient software solutions.

•Ongoing Challenges: Continued R&D is essential to further improve software scalability and performance.



